

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
BOX PATENT APPLICATION
Washington, D.C. 20231

"EXPRESS MAIL" LABEL NO. EL295374385
DATE OF DEPOSIT: July 14, 1999

CASE DOCKET NO. GE998-058
Date: July 13, 1999

Sir:



07/14/99

Transmitted herewith for filing is the Patent Application of:
Inventors: W. Eibach et al

For: OPERATING SYSTEM FOR HANDLING DYNAMIC AND STATIC TASKS

Enclosed are:

- ☒ One(1) sheets of formal drawings.
- ☒ An assignment of the invention to International Business Machines Corporation, Armonk, New York 10504.
- ☒ Certified copy of European Patent Application No. 98119992.0
- ☒ Signed Declaration and Power of Attorney is attached to the application.
- ☐ Associate Power of Attorney.
- ☐ Information Disclosure Statement with form PTO-1449 with references attached.

The filing fee has been calculated as shown below:

	(Col. 1)	(Col. 2)
FOR:	NO. FILED	NO. EXTRA
BASIC FEE		
TOTAL CLAIMS	3 - 20 =	0
INDEP CLAIMS	2 - 3 =	0
<input type="checkbox"/> MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM PRESENTED		

If the difference in Col. 1 is less than zero, enter "0" in Col. 2.

OTHER THAN A
SMALL ENTITY

RATE	FEE
	\$ 760.00
X \$ 18 =	\$ 0.00
X \$ 78 =	\$ 0.00
+ \$ 260 =	\$ 0.00
TOTAL	\$ 760.00

- ☒ Please charge my Deposit Account No. 09-0468 in the amount of \$ 760.00.
- ☒ The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge payment of the following fees associated with this communication or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 09-0468. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.
- ☒ Any additional filing fees required under 37 CFR 1.16.
- ☒ Any patent application processing fees under 35 CFR 1.17.

Respectfully submitted,

By Stephen C. Kaufman
Attorney: Stephen C. Kaufman
Registration No.: 29,551
Tel. (914) 945-3145

IBM CORPORATION
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW DEPT.
P.O. BOX 218
YORKTOWN HEIGHTS, NY 10598

IBM



09-0468

jc511 U.S. PTO
09/354375



D E S C R I P T I O N

Operating System for Handling Dynamic and Static Tasks

The present invention in general relates to operating systems and, more specifically, to operating systems for handling dynamic and static tasks. Still more specifically, the present invention relates to such operating systems that handle functions in a motor vehicle.

The automotive industry has a long tradition in mechanical/electrical technologies and their engineering. Since a few years, however, the technical community can observe a transition from the classical techniques to electronics, particularly for control functions.

Speaking of electronics meant until recently mainly micro controllers, i.e., uncoupled and fixed-programmed hardware developed for very dedicated functions. Market demands, such as car weight reduction, development/production cost reduction, function reliability increase, diagnostics improvement, support of completely new and more complex functions are forcing the automotive manufactureres to look for drastic improvements during the development cycle and production phase, and for new solutions in technology and architectures of the vehicles functions themselves.

The last years show a dramatic increase in complexity of the single components as well as of the car as a system. This is due to the growing number of components, their high interaction rates, the consumers' demand for excellent reliability, usability and safety and so on. The traditional engineering processes cannot meet these new requirements effectively with reasonable costs. New development concepts and better engineering methods, together with highly efficient tools are required.

The first step of improving electronic functions in motor vehicles was the interconnection of in-car controllers by field busses like CAN or J1850, etc. The next step is adding telematics function like emergency calls, breakdown calls, traffic info, etc. Emerging standards in this field are GATS (Global Automotive Technical Standard) and WAP (Wireless Application Protocol), enabling e-business for vehicles.

So far these functions are executed on separate hardware platforms, one for the classical car functions including interconnection software, and one for the telematic functions. This is simply determined by the different operating systems used, a static one for the classical car functions like seat-, window-, climate-, gearbox- and motor control, and a dynamic one for telematic functions. This approach is well suited for luxury cars but obviously not a cost optimized setup for the mass market.

One operating system suitable to carry out static functions is , e.g., OSEK (Offene Systeme und deren Elektronik im Kraftfahrzeug) OS APIs (Application Program Interface). Dynamic functions can, e.g., be handled by POSIX (Portable Operating System Interface). However, the skilled worker will be aware of the fact that these operating systems are not the only ones that are able to carry out respective tasks. Therefore, the present invention is not restricted to these operating systems but can be carried out with every operating system being able to handle static or dynamic functions.

When static OSEK APIs dynamic POSIX functions are required on one platform, current implementations solve this requirement by adding an OSEK layer on top of the available RTOS (Real Time Operating System). This, however, has many disadvantages, e.g., a bad performance which most times is not acceptable. In addition, some of the OSEK APIs cannot

be mapped onto POSIX APIs because the POSIX kernel does not support them, leading to a not fully compliant implementation. This solution is offered for debug platforms, where the performance is normally sufficient and compliance not the issue. However, this approach cannot be used for real runtime environments, especially in a vehicle, where special tasks, e.g., break control, must, under all circumstances, be processed in a hard real time environment.

It is therefore an object of the present invention to provide a single platform where both functional domains, static and dynamic, are integrated.

It is a further object of the present invention to provide an operating system that is able to handle static as well as dynamic tasks.

These and other objects are achieved by the operating system of claim 1 and the method of claim 3.

The present invention provides a solution for the coexistence for both functional domains, static and dynamic, on one single platform.

To provide such a solution an RTOS is put on a processor platform. This operating system handles all the system resources and especially must support an interface being able to communicate with the dynamic world, like the POSIX interface.

To combine these dynamic functions with the static OSEK world, the proposed solution uses a kernel extension, thus adding basic functions to the library of the RTOS. This kernel extension overcomes the performance bottleneck of today's layered approach. In addition, it allows for a

full, OSEK standard compliant operating system, supporting all APIs.

The major kernel change is in the task handling. In a dynamic system like POSIX, a task and all its resources are destroyed when the task is terminated. When the same task has to be reestablished, every resource, e.g., memory, stack, etc., has to be created again. This leads to a long time required for this process if handled by a layer emulating an OSEK static system.

The kernel extension proposed herein changes the task handling for the static OSEK tasks and leaves the task handling for the POSIX world untouched. The new mechanism just puts an OSEK task into a suspended state, leaving all its resources in the memory when the task is terminated. In this suspended state, the task does not need any processor resources and will not affect the overall system performance. When the task is activated again, it is just initialized, i.e., changing it from its suspended mode into the active mode. No rebuilding of any of its resources is required, leading to the required immediate processing.

Additional priority management for task and process execution must be available to support real time requirements. However, the skilled worker will readily know how to achieve this so that there is no need to explain in any great detail.

By this proposal a low cost system is given which supports in-car applications and off-car applications, and it thereby enables e-business for the automotive mass market.

The invention will hereinafter be explained in more detail in connection with the accompanying drawing.

With the introduction of 32 bit processors in the automotive arena new options for setting up systems for classical car functions and telematic functions are given. Especially integration of both functional domains on one platform is possible.

Referring now to the Fig., the kernel 1 of the POSIX operating system is extended by OSEK API functions. The new world of OSEK task management is implemented, resulting in an excellent performance, i.e., start of new tasks or tasks which were suspended, allowing for hard real time performance.

On the static portion 2 of the system the typical in-car applications are executed, an access to one or more CAN busses 3 is a prerequisite. Applications are written against the OSEK APIs. On the dynamic portion 4 the telematic functions (like mayday functions, breakdown functions, GPS, onboard calculator, VGA, etc.; it has to be noted that this listing is not limiting and that a skilled worker can think of many additional functions that can be included here) are executed, access to a multimedia bus 5 is typical for this set of applications, so are GPS 7 and GSM 8 applications. These applications make use of the POSIX APIs. The need of information exchange between the two worlds is done by a shared memory 6 providing the necessary safety. In any case, only statically defined requests can be executed, no direct manipulation of code on the static address space is possible.

C L A I M S

1. Operating system for handling dynamic tasks,
especially telematic functions in a motor vehicle,
wherein said tasks are created, terminated and
subsequently destroyed,

characterized in that

said operating system is also able to handle static tasks by creating, terminating and subsequently putting said tasks into a suspended state, so that said tasks can be reactivated, if required, without rebuilding any of their resources.

2. Operating system according to claim 1, characterized in that said suspended state is realized by extending the kernel of the operating system for handling dynamic tasks.

3. Method of handling dynamic and static tasks,
especially functions in a motor vehicle,

characterized in that

said static tasks, after termination, are put into a suspended state, so that said tasks can be reactivated, if required, without rebuilding any of their resources.

A B S T R A C T

The present application provides an operating system for handling dynamic tasks, especially telematic functions in a motor vehicle, wherein said tasks are created, terminated and subsequently destroyed, characterized in that said operating system is also able to handle static tasks by creating, terminating and subsequently putting said tasks into a suspended state, so that said tasks can be reactivated, if required, without rebuilding any of their resources.

SECRET




FIG. 1

DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR PATENT APPLICATION

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name. I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled

OPERATING SYSTEM FOR HANDLING DYNAMIC AND STATIC TASKS,

the specification of which (check one)

☒ is attached hereto

— was filed on _____ as United States Application Number or
PCT International Application Number _____
and was amended on _____.

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above identified specification, including claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above. I acknowledge the duty to disclose to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office all information known to me to be material to patentability as defined in 37 CFR § 1.56.

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or § 365(b) of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate, or § 365(a) of any PCT International application which designated at least one country other than the United States, listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate, or PCT International application having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed.

98 119 992.0	Europe (Country)	22 Oct. 1998 (Day/Month/Year Filed)	Priority Claimed <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes _ No
--------------	---------------------	--	---

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below.

_____ (Application Number)	_____ (Filing Date)
-------------------------------	------------------------

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. § 120 of any United States application(s), or § 365(c) of any PCT International application designating the United States, listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States or PCT International application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in 37 CFR § 1.56(a) which occurred between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

_____ (Appl. Serial No.)	_____ (Filing Date)	_____ (Status)(patented, pending, abandoned)
-----------------------------	------------------------	---

POWER OF ATTORNEY: As a named inventor, I hereby appoint the following attorney(s) and/or agent(s) to prosecute this application and transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith:

Douglas W. Cameron (Reg.No.31,596)
Terry J. Ilardi, (Reg.No. 29,936),
Stephen C. Kaufman (Reg.No.29,551),
Paul J. Otterstedt,(Reg.No. 37, 411),
Jay P. Sbrollini (Reg.No. 36,266),
David M. Shofi, (Reg.No. 39,835),

Louis P. Herzberg (Reg.No. 41,500)
Kevin M. Jordan (Reg.No. 40,277),
Daniel P. Morris (Reg.No. 32,053),
Louis J. Percello (Reg.No. 33,206),
Manny W. Schechter (Reg.No. 31,722),
Robert M. Trepp (Reg. 25,933).

Send Correspondence to: Stephen C. Kaufman,
IBM CORPORATION, Intellectual Property Law, T.J.WATSON RES.CENTER,
B.O. BOX 218, YORKTOWN HGTS, NY 10598.

Telephone: (914) 945- 3197

"EXPRESS MAIL" LABEL NO. EL295374385
DATE OF DEPOSIT: July 14, 1999

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Full Name of sole or first inventor: Wolfgang EIBACH

Signature: Wolfgang Eibach Date: 6/10/99
Residence: Tuebinger Str. 106/4, D-71088 Holzgerlingen, Germany
Citizenship: German
Post Office Address: As above

Full Name of second joint inventor: Matthias GRUETZNER

Signature: Matthias Gruetzner Date: 6/10/99
Residence: Lessingstr. 14, D-71101 Schoenaich, Germany
Citizenship: German
Post Office Address: As above

6/10/99